

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RICHARD BANDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-00095-RFB-DJA

ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

Plaintiff, a pro se prisoner, previously filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and submitted a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1, 1-1.) The Court dismissed the complaint, including the claim for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs, with prejudice and denied the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* as moot. (ECF No. 6). Eighth months later, Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of that order. (ECF No. 8).

In his motion for reconsideration, Plaintiff argues that he should have been given leave to amend his claim for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs. (ECF No. 8). Out of an abundance of caution, the Court will grant Plaintiff leave to amend that claim to allege true facts that are sufficient to state a claim. Plaintiff is not granted leave to include any other claims in his amended complaint.

Plaintiff is instructed to review the screening order and to review and comply with the instructions on the complaint form being provided by the Court before filing his amended complaint.

